



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/555,349	08/01/2000	THOMAS F. TEDDER	180/95/PCT/U	1602
7590	11/06/2003		EXAMINER	
ARLES A TAYLOR JR JENKINS & WILSON UNIVERSITY TOWER 3100 TOWER BOULEVARD SUITE 1400 DURHAM, NC 27707			LI, QIAN JANICE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/555,349	TEDDER, THOMAS F.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Q. Janice Li	1632	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2003.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

4) Claim(s) 14-32 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 32 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 29-31 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 18 January 2002 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ . 6) Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on August 13, 2003 has been entered. Claim 2 has been canceled. Claim 29 has been amended, and claims 31 and 32 are newly submitted. Claims 14-32 are pending in the application, and claims 29-32 are under current examination.

Unless otherwise indicated, previous rejections that have been rendered moot in view of the amendment to pending claims will not be reiterated.

This application contains claims (14-28) drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in Paper No. 10. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29 and 30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Engel et al* (Immunity 1995;3:39-50), in view of *Nielsen et al* (EMBO J 1983;2:115-9), and the rejection applies to new claim 31.

In the 8/13/03 response, applicants argue that Engle teaches the use of CD19 transgenic mice to quantitatively influence the antibody response of a mouse, but fails to teach a qualitative difference between CD19 transgenic and wild type mice, i.e. the monoclonal antibodies produced by the hybridoma are characterized by a diverse repertoire of V_H and V_L rearrangements as recited in the amended claim 29. Applicants further indicated that Engle teaches away from using the CD19 transgenic mice for generating monoclonal antibodies to highly conserved and self-antigens.

The arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for reasons of record and following.

First, claims 29-31 are not limited to generating antibodies to highly conserved and self antigens, they encompass any antigen. Second, even though *Engel et al* did not teach the diverse repertoire of V_H and V_L rearrangements or specifics as recited in claim 31, the characteristics of the antibody is determined by the method of making such. Since the combined teachings of Engel and Nielsen are obvious over the instantly claimed method, the antibody generated by the method would intrinsically have the characteristics as now claimed.

Please note that the claim recitation "the monoclonal antibodies having diverse repertoire of V_H and V_L rearrangements" or "the presence of two or fewer somatic mutations in a VH region" have not been given patentable weight in this rejection. This is because they merely recite the characteristics of the product produced by the method, wherein there is no structural or manipulative difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from

the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. **In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art.** See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Additionally, applicants are reminded that it is a general rule that merely discovering and claiming a new benefit to an old process cannot render the process again patentable. *In re Woodruff* 919 F. 2d 1575, 1577-78, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936-37 (Fed. Cir. 1990); *In re Swinehart*, 439 F. 2d 210, 213, 169 USPQ 226, 229 (CCPA 1971); and *Ex Parte Novitski*, 26 USPQ2d 1389, 1391 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1993).

Accordingly, for reasons of record and set forth above, the rejection stands.

Conclusion

Claim 32 is allowable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Q. Janice Li whose telephone number is 703-308-7942. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5 p.m., Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah J. Reynolds can be reached on 703-305-4051. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of formal matters can be directed to the patent analyst, Dianiece Jacobs, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Q. Janice Li
Examiner
Art Unit 1632

QJL
October 28, 2003

ANNE M. WEHBE PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

